UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/588,819 | 07/02/2007 | Milovan Skendzic | P71402US0 | 3987 | |
| | 7590 10/11/201 OLMAN PLLC | 10/11/2011 AN PLLC EXAMINER | | | |
| 400 SEVENTH | STREET N.W. | SINGH, SUNIL | | | |
| SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3672 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 10/11/2011 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commence | 10/588,819 | SKENDZIC ET AL | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | SUNIL SINGH | 3672 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | dress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) An election was made by the applicant in response | An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on | | | | | |
| ; the restriction requirement and election | ; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. | | | | | |
| 4) Since this application is in condition for allowan | ce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the | merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/588,819 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1,3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 lines 8-9, "a strip footing" is recited; at line 1 "a strip foundation" is recited; it is unclear if they are related.

Claim 3, the body of the claim and the preamble do not commensurate. The preamble is directed to a "holding/leveling device"; however the body includes the "u-shaped socket". It appears that the preamble such be directed to a --A foundation system comprising a holding/leveling device and U-shaped socket groove strip--

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over British document (GB 2254099) in view of Japanese documents (JP 10219706) and Japanese document (JP2003247242.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,819

Art Unit: 3672

British document teaches a pre-cast socket comprising a part of a strip foundation having a longitudinal socket groove, said socket groove being U-shaped having a bottom wall and two sidewalls projecting upwardly from said bottom wall (see Fig. 1). British document discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, British document is silent about include inner and outer holes and planar mesh extending from a bottom wall. Japanese document '706 teaches inner and outer holes (see Fig. 2). Japanese document '242 teaches a planar mesh extending from a bottom wall (see Fig. 1). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify British document to include the inner and outer holes as taught by Japanese document '706 and to include the planar mesh as taught by Japanese document '242 since such a modification facilitates the installing and anchoring of the foundation.

Page 3

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SUNIL SINGH whose telephone number is (571)272-7051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 10:30 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (571) 272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,819

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sunil Singh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3672 Sunil Singh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672 Page 4

SS 9/29/11